IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 18116 of 2002

Between:

M/s. Sai Trading Company, Rep. by its Proprietor, Sri Vankayala Gandhi,

S/o. Ramaiah, H.No.4-101, Zaheerpura, Khammam District-507 003.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P., Rep. by its Secretary,
Revenue, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Bheemavaram, West Godavari District.
3 The Joint Collector,
West Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in illegally seizing 100Quintal of rice of the petitioner on 27.8.2002 and non release of the same in spite of repeated requested and demands made by the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, biased, unconstitutional without jurisdiction and against the principles of the nature justice and consequently direct the second respondent to release the seized stock of the petitioner on 27.8.2002 and kept with Sri Vijaya Lakshmi Traders, Bhimavaram i.e. 100 Quintals of rice forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SMT.D.LALITHA PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following:

Oral order:-

This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to release 100 Quintals of rice forthwith seized illegally from the petitioner on 27-08-2002 and kept with Sri Vijaya Lakshmi Traders, Bhimavaram.

This Court, at the time of admission of the writ petition, on 19-09-2002 granted interim order directing release of the rice seized from the petitioner on 27-08-2002, in case no proceedings have been initiated against the petitioner under Section 6-A of the Essential Commodities Act.

Since the relief sought in the main writ petition and the interlocutory application is one and the same, and this court on 19-09-2002 granted interim direction, no further adjudication in this writ petition is required.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

ELIPE DHARMA RAO, J

Dt. 14-07-2005

Pvks/*

To

1 The Secretary, Revenue, Government of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Bheemavaram, West Godavari District.
3 The Joint Collector,
West Godavari District.

4. 2 CCs., to the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, A.P. High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**MADHURI**}*